

1 **CLAYTON P. BRUST, ESQ. – NSB #5234**  
2 cbrust@rssblaw.com  
3 **THERESE M. SHANKS, ESQ. – NSB #12890**  
4 tshanks@rssblaw.com  
5 **Robison, Sharp, Sullivan & Brust**  
6 **A Professional Corporation**  
7 **71 Washington Street**  
8 **Reno, Nevada 89503**  
9 **Telephone: (775) 329-3151**  
10 **Facsimile: (775) 329-7941**

11 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*

<input checked="checked" type="checkbox"/> FILED	<input type="checkbox"/> RECEIVED
<input type="checkbox"/> ENTERED	<input type="checkbox"/> SERVED ON
COUNSEL/PARTIES OF RECORD	
<b>MAY 20 2019</b>	
CLERK US DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
BY: _____	DEPUTY _____

12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
13 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

14 **ATHERTON RESOURCES, LLC., a**  
15 **Nevada Limited Liability Company,**

16 **Plaintiff,**

17 **vs.**

18 **ANSON RESOURCES, LTD, BRUCE**  
19 **RICHARDSON, an individual., and**  
20 **DOES I-X inclusive,**

21 **Defendants.**

**CASE NO: 3:17-cv-00340-MMD-VPC**

*ORDER*

22 **JOINT STIPULATION FOR EXTENSION**  
23 **OF TIME TO FILE PRETRIAL ORDER**  
24 **[SECOND REQUEST]**

25 The parties, by and through the undersigned counsel, stipulate and respectfully  
26 request an order as follows:

27 A Settlement Conference was held in this case on March 22, 2019 before the  
28 Honorable Carla Baldwin Carry, during which the Court met and conferred with the  
parties and counsel. However, a settlement was not reached. In the Court Minutes [101]  
filed March 22, 2019, the Court ordered the parties file a proposed joint pretrial order  
("JPTO") be due on April 22, 2019. The parties already requested, and were granted, a  
thirty (30) day extension, so the JPTO is due May 22, 2019. [102].

The parties have worked diligently to complete the JPTO. Several drafts have  
been exchanged between counsel. Counsel has identified approximately 260 exhibits,  
but are working to reduce the number of exhibits and plan to meet to identify objections to

1 proposed exhibits and resolve objections, if possible. Further, Plaintiff has withdrawn its  
2 jury demand and Defendant has agreed to allow this matter to proceed as a bench trial.  
3 This change in the presentation at trial has resulted in different considerations that will  
4 expedite the trial of this matter.

5 Finally, Plaintiff's counsel is scheduled for heavy travel through June 4, 2019 to  
6 prepare for and participate in a Ninth Circuit Settlement Conference. Counsel for this  
7 matter plan to meet several times to meet and confer regarding the JPTO, but available  
8 dates are limited over the next few weeks. The parties respectfully request believe that  
9 an additional 30 days to finalize the JPTO.

10 The stipulated request for an additional thirty (30) days, until June 22, 2019, to file  
11 a proposed joint pretrial order is submitted for the reasons explained above, in good faith,  
12 and not for purposes of undue delay.

13 Dated this 16<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2019.

Dated this 16<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2019.

14  
15 /s/ Clayton P. Brust  
16 Clayton P. Brust, Esq.  
17 Robison, Belaustegui, Sharp & Low  
18 71 Washington Street  
Reno, NV 89503  
*Attorney for Plaintiff*

/s/ Brian McMahon  
Brian McMahon, Esq.  
McMahon Law Offices, Ltd.  
3715 Lakeside Drive, Ste. A  
Reno, NV 89509  
*Attorneys for Defendant*

19  
20 **ORDER**

21 IT IS SO ORDERED.

22 Dated this <sup>20<sup>th</sup></sup> day of May, 2019.

23  
24   
25 United States Magistrate Judge  
26  
27  
28

J:\WPData\CPB\5507.001 Atherton Resources\IP-Stipulation to Extend Time to file Pretrial Order.doc